Integration by Coincidence Status and Speculation

Jacob Beal BICA Workshop January, 2006

Consider a mind built of specialists...



Coincidence allows the parts to learn to cooperate

What's hard about cooperation?



Consider a script for grabbing an item...

We need knowledge that crosses the boundaries between modules



How does it fit into the modules? Did I make a bad design decision?

AI abuses abstraction barriers

No "right" set of modules can avoid the problem

- Horns of the Dilemma
 - Modules need complex information sharing
 - Complex interfaces degrade modularity
- How can a simple interface between modules support complex interactions?

Can human minds give us inspiration?

Human integration develops slowly

• Spelke's rectangular room



Engineering Guidelines

- Acquire integration from experience
- Language is the means of integration

How can language solve our module problems?

Projecting "grab" PATIENT grip at enable roverto DESTINATION



We can project a script into the modules it spans



Given shared protocol for expressing the slots, the projections can emulate the cross-module script.



For example, motor wants to pick up a pen...



...vision contributes the location...



...motor goes to the location, the two sides agree...



...and the rest of the script can play out. So how can we get communicating scripts?

• Kirby's language evolution







• Kirby's language evolution



Shared experience suggests word meanings

• Kirby's language evolution



In a sparse world, coincidence implies connection.

• Kirby's language evolution



In a sparse world, coincidence implies connection.

• Kirby's language evolution



In a sparse world, coincidence implies connection.

Engineering Guidelines

- Acquire integration from experience
- Language is the means of integration
- Shared experience suggests word meanings
- Coincidence implies connection.

How can we build a robust, non-stop learner?

Communication Bootstrapping VISION **MOTOR** contains grip -AGENT PATIENT PATIEN **AGENT** cause at nover moverto cause DESTINAT DESTINATIO

On a large, randomly permuted bundle of wires...



...each side chooses a random sparse subset...



...and coincidence makes agreement easy. Look, a symbol! Roles are learned similarly...







When does this work, and when does it fail?

- Experimentally, when does Bootstrapping fail?
 - Non-sparseness
 - Stutter
 - Burn-in

- Experimentally, when does Bootstrapping fail?
 - Non-sparseness
 - Stutter
 - Burn-in



- Experimentally, when does Bootstrapping fail?
 - Non-sparseness
 - Stutter
 - Burn-in



- Experimentally, when does Bootstrapping fail?
 - Non-sparseness
 - Stutter
 - Burn-in



- Experimentally, when does Bootstrapping fail?
 - Non-sparseness
 - Stutter Abstra
 - Burn-in

Coen, Granger

Abstraction

Temporal schemas

Proposed Answer: Temporal Schemas

- How can we learn relations that aren't 1-to-1?
 - Basis of ~10 types, positive & negative for each
 - Equality relations are a special case



Open Question: Where do the projections come from?

You can't learn something until you almost already know it. -Bill Martin



Open Question: Where do the projections come from?

You can't learn something until you almost already know it. -Bill Martin

• Build around something not predicted



- Creation
- Formation
- Validation
- Acceptance
- Refutation



- Creation guess core
- Formation
- Validation
- Acceptance
- Refutation



- Creation guess *core*
- Formation prune
- Validation
- Acceptance
- Refutation





- Creation guess *core*
- Formation prune
- Validation bootstrap
- Acceptance
- Refutation



- Creation guess *core*
- Formation prune
- Validation bootstrap
- Acceptance imagine Backpack
- Refutation



VISION

VISION

- Creation guess *core*
- Formation prune
- Validation bootstrap
- Acceptance imagine
- Refutation delete



Does it all work?

Ask me again in a couple months...