The Synthetic Biology Open Language Supports Integration of the Engineering Life-Cycle for Synthetic Biologists
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MOTIVATION

A critical bottleneck for large-scale engineering collaboration in synthetic biology has been the inability to integrate data through successive stages of the design-build-test-learn (DBTL) engineering life-cycle. These workflows generate large volumes of data and physical artifacts (e.g., DNA samples and cell stocks) that are difficult to organize, track, and manage without systematized, automated toolchains.

The DBTL cycle is a generalized, iterative framework for engineering problem-solving—something like a scientific method for engineers. In the context of synthetic biology, the DBTL cycle may include processes such as pulling data about biological parts from online databases, assembling new genetic programs from DNA sequences, synthesizing and assembling DNA, performing quality control, measurement and model-based characterization of a DNA part’s encoded behavior, submitting characterized parts to inventories, and publishing data sheets. Ideally, each cycle generates new knowledge that feeds back into new cycles in the form of alternative approaches, reformulated problems, or forward specifications for future designs.

In this abstract, we describe how the Synthetic Biology Open Language (SBOL) data exchange standard has recently been extended to enable documentation, automation, and integration of DBTL pipelines to support large-scale, distributed research and development in synthetic biology.

REPRESENTING WORKFLOWS

Distributed collaboration in synthetic biology requires integrating a diverse network of resources, including software tools, automation, instrumentation, databases, and repositories. In order for these resources and, by extension, the collaborative, scientific communities they support to communicate and operate efficiently, data standards are needed. This abstract reports recent developments in data exchange using the SBOL standard that support large-scale collaboration among diverse communities of experimental and computational synthetic biologists.

SBOL is a data exchange standard intended to support reuse and reproducibility of prior scientific work by synthetic biologists and developed through an open, community-wide process. SBOL defines a high-level data model that represents important conceptual knowledge for human users, while, at a lower level, data is serialized in a machine-readable RDF/XML format that enables semantic interoperability between distributed resources. Since the standard was originally released [3], its scope has expanded [8], most recently with SBOL 2.2, which includes support for integration of computational workflows with experimental workflows [2].

In order to describe multi-stage workflows, SBOL leverages the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) Provenance Ontology (PROV-O) [7]. Provenance may be defined as a form of structured meta-data that describes the execution of processes in which one artifact is transformed into another. This record is essential for understanding where data comes from, deciding whether it should be trusted, and integrating it with other information sources. In PROV-O, workflows are represented as a directed, acyclic graph linked by Activities executed by Agents (e.g., persons, robotics, and/or software tools) according to a Plan upon Usages of other artifacts (Figure 1). The PROV-O data model is deliberately generic. Although it has heretofore been used primarily for describing computational workflows, it has been adapted for use in SBOL to describe experimental workflows as well.

More specifically, SBOL classifies provenance Activities according to a simple DBTL ontology. Data objects produced by “design” Activities represent an engineer’s intended design and are purely conceptual (these are typically ComponentDefinition or ModuleDefinition objects). Data objects produced by “build” Activities represent physical artifacts such as DNA samples or cell lines (these are typically Implementation objects). These in turn may be subject to “test” Activities, an experimental measurement that results in new data objects (these are typically an Attachment or a Collection of Attachment objects). These data are then subject to reduction and analysis through “learn” Activities (learned objects can be any other type of object representing what has been learned). Workflows in SBOL may consist of any number of specialized steps and protocols, but by and large they are expected to fit into the

Figure 1: PROV-O and SBOL classes used to represent the design-build-test-learn (DBTL) engineering life-cycle.
Figure 2 represents one complete iteration through a hypothetical DBTL cycle. The cycle starts with a model specifying a gene circuit’s desired behavior. A parts-based design is created with the iBioSim tool. Subsequently, a DNA construct is implemented in the lab by a technician and its behavior is measured using an automated plate-reader protocol. Finally, the data are fit with a mathematical model in order to characterize the observed behavior, which may or may not match the original specification. This scenario represents a model-based design approach to synthetic biology.

APPLICATIONS AND TOOL SUPPORT

SBOL 2.2 is currently being used for distributed collaboration in several large-scale synthetic biology efforts that are characterizing genetic parts and designs, including the NSF Living Computing Project and the EPSRC-funded Synthetic Portabolomics project. These efforts involve diverse communities of computational and experimental researchers. To support these efforts, software tools have been developed and upgraded to support PROV-O. These include, among others, synthetic biology design tools, such as SBOLDesigner [9], modeling tools, such as iBioSim [5], and data repositories, such as SynBioHub [6]. SynBioHub, in particular, provides a means to browse provenance histories online using its web interface. These tools leverage open source software libraries for Java (libSBOLj) [10], JavaScript (sboljs), C++ (libSBOL), and Python (pySBOL), which have been extended to support the creation and search of provenance histories using PROV-O and networked data exchange with SynBioHub instances.

CONTRIBUTIONS

SBOL 2.2 is an enabling technology that supports large-scale engineering efforts in synthetic biology [2]. Teams of synthetic biologists using experimental and computational methods may collaborate better using a growing company of resources and infrastructure that communicate with SBOL. Genetic designs, laboratory samples, and experimental data can be linked together by provenance histories, making it easier for one synthetic biologist to reuse the work of another. The pace of synthetic biology innovation will likely improve as synthetic biologists proceed through many iterations of DBTL with computer-aided and automated technologies.
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